



The Indian Journal for Research in Law and Management

Open Access Law Journal – Copyright © 2024

Editor-in-Chief – Prof. (Dr.) Muktai Deb Chavan; Publisher – Alden Vas; ISSN: 2583-9896

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International (CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

Navigating the Spectrum: LGBTQ+ Rights in India and Recent Legal Developments

Introduction:

India, a country known for its rich cultural heritage, has seen a significant shift in attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights in recent years. This country which was very conservative for its heritage and also related to religion, has had a change of heart. From being a nation where homosexuality was considered a criminal offense to one where there are burgeoning legal developments supporting LGBTQ+ rights, India has traversed a remarkable journey. This blog, is your one-stop for everything that happened in recent years along with recent legal developments concerning LGBTQ+ rights in India, exploring landmark cases and legislative changes that have shaped the landscape of equality and acceptance.

The Expedition of Decriminalization:

A watershed moment in the fight for LGBTQ+ rights in India came with the historic judgment of *Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India*¹ in 2018. The Supreme Court of India, in a landmark decision, struck down Section 377² of the Indian Penal Code, which criminalized **consensual** homosexual acts. This verdict marked the culmination of years of advocacy and activism by the LGBTQ+ community and allies, signalling a progressive shift in India's legal framework towards greater inclusivity.

The case of Navtej Singh Johar not only decriminalized same-sex relationships but also emphasized the importance of individual autonomy, privacy, and dignity, irrespective of one's

¹ Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India, (2018) 10 SCC 1

² The Indian Penal Code, 1860, § 377

sexual orientation or gender identity. This Landmark case has relevance and created a chain reaction for generating multiple petitions from all across India. The court's recognition of the LGBTQ+ community's rights as inherent to the fundamental freedoms enshrined in the Indian Constitution set a powerful precedent for future legal battles and societal discourse.

Transgender Rights and Legal Recognition:

While the decriminalization of homosexuality was a significant milestone, the struggle for LGBTQ+ rights in India encompasses a broader spectrum of identities, including transgender individuals. In 2014, the Supreme Court of India, in the case of *National Legal Services Authority (NALSA) v. Union of India*³, recognized the rights of transgender people and affirmed their entitlement to legal recognition as a '**Third Gender**'.

The NALSA judgment laid down crucial directives for the protection of transgender rights, including access to healthcare, education, employment, and affirmative action in the form of reservations. Moreover, it emphasized the need to combat discrimination and stigma faced by transgender individuals in various spheres of life, fostering a more inclusive and equitable society.

Subsequent developments, such as the *Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019*, aimed to codify the rights of transgender individuals and provide for their welfare and empowerment. It even gave a proper definition to 'Transgender People' in its definition clause⁴. However, the legislation faced criticism from activists for certain provisions deemed regressive or inadequate, highlighting the ongoing challenges in translating legal recognition into substantive equality for transgender communities.

Marriage Equality and Parental Rights:

While strides have been made in decriminalizing homosexuality and recognizing transgender rights, the issue of marriage equality remains a contentious subject in India. Unlike some

³ National Legal Services Authority v. Union of India, (2014) 5 SCC 438

⁴ The Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019, § 2(k)

countries where same-sex marriage is legally recognized, India continues to uphold traditional definitions of marriage, limiting it to heterosexual unions.

The case of *Suresh Kumar Koushal v. Naz Foundation*⁵, which preceded the Navtej Singh Johar verdict, saw the Supreme Court of India reinstating Section 377, reversing the Delhi High Court's earlier decision that had declared it unconstitutional. The ruling dealt a blow to efforts advocating for marriage equality and equal rights for LGBTQ+ couples, underscoring the legal hurdles that persist despite incremental progress.

Moreover, LGBTQ+ individuals in India still continue to grapple with challenges related to **parental rights, adoption, and surrogacy**. The absence of clear legal provisions recognizing same-sex couples' rights to parenthood exacerbates the complexities faced by LGBTQ+ families, often subjecting them to discrimination and legal ambiguities.

Employment Discrimination and Workplace Inclusivity:

In the realm of employment, LGBTQ+ individuals frequently encounter discrimination and prejudice based on their sexual orientation or gender identity. Despite constitutional guarantees of equality and non-discrimination, workplace policies and practices often lag behind in fostering inclusivity and diversity.

Recent legal developments have seen efforts to address these disparities, with organizations and institutions taking steps to implement anti-discrimination policies and sensitization programs. The judgment in the case of *Puttaswamy v. Union of India*⁶, which recognized the right to privacy as a fundamental right, has bolstered arguments for workplace inclusivity and protection of LGBTQ+ employees' rights.

However, challenges persist, particularly in sectors where societal norms and biases perpetuate discrimination against LGBTQ+ individuals. The urban cities and areas have seen a significant amount of improvement, but still, it is far behind what it needs to be. The lack of comprehensive legislation explicitly prohibiting employment discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation or gender identity leaves a gap in legal protections, necessitating further advocacy and reform efforts.

⁵ Suresh Kumar Koushal v. Naz Foundation, (2014) 1 SCC 1

⁶ Justice K.S. Puttaswamy Vs. Union of India SC (2017) 10 SCC 1

Conclusion:

The journey of LGBTQ+ rights in India reflects a dynamic interplay of legal, social, and cultural factors, with significant strides made towards greater recognition and inclusion. From the decriminalization of homosexuality to the recognition of transgender rights and the push for workplace inclusivity, recent legal developments have reshaped the discourse on equality and diversity.

However, the road ahead remains fraught with challenges, including the quest for *marriage equality*, *parental rights*, and *comprehensive anti-discrimination legislation*. As India continues to evolve towards a more inclusive society, it is imperative to amplify the voices of the LGBTQ+ community, uphold the principles of dignity and equality, and strive for a future where all individuals are free to love and live authentically, regardless of their sexual orientation or gender identity.

References:

- *LGBT rights in India* (2024) *Wikipedia*. Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/LGBT_rights_in_India (Accessed: 30 March 2024).
- Article from SCCOnline: LGBTQIA+ civil rights in India, 2.1 JCLJ (2021) 1111
- Drishti IAS (2023) *LGBTQ+ rights in India: The Supreme Court's verdict*, *Drishti IAS*. Available at: <https://drishtias.com/daily-updates/daily-news-editorials/lgbtq-rights-in-india-the-supreme-court-s-verdict> (Accessed: 31 March 2024).