



The Indian Journal for Research in Law and Management

Open Access Law Journal – Copyright © 2024

Editor-in-Chief – Prof. (Dr.) Muktai Deb Chavan; Publisher – Alden Vas; ISSN: 2583-9896

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International (CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

DESIGN AND COPYRIGHT OVERLAP: EMERGING JUDICIAL TRENDS.

AUTHOR- HARSH CHOTRANI¹

ABSTRACT

In today's digital age, the overlap between copyright and design rights has become increasingly complex. This poses significant challenges to both creators and legal practitioners. This research paper aims to investigate the nuanced intersection between copyright and design rights. It sheds light on its implications across various industries and jurisdictions. The paper begins by providing a comprehensive overview of copyright and design rights. It delineates their respective scopes and protections. It then delves into the intricate interplay between these two legal frameworks. It examines scenarios where a work may be eligible for dual protection or where conflicts may arise due to overlapping rights. Furthermore, the paper explores the implications of this overlap on creators, consumers, and businesses. The ambiguity surrounding the protection of designs under copyright law can lead to uncertainty regarding ownership and infringement. This could potentially hinder innovation and creativity. The paper also examines the impact of emerging technologies, such as 3D printing and digital modeling, on the enforcement of copyright and design rights.

Drawing upon case studies and jurisprudential analysis from different jurisdictions, the paper identifies common challenges and divergent approaches in addressing the overlap between copyright and design rights. It highlights the need for clearer legal standards and harmonization efforts. This would provide clarity and certainty to stakeholders operating in a globalized marketplace. The paper discusses potential solutions and strategies to navigate the complexities of the copyright-design interface. This includes contractual agreements, alternative dispute resolution mechanisms, and legislative reforms. It emphasizes the importance of balancing the interests of

¹ Post Graduate Student Of Law at Gujrat National Law University specialized in IP Laws.

creators, rights holders, and the public. This would foster innovation while ensuring adequate protection for intellectual property. In conclusion, this research paper underscores the significance of understanding and addressing the overlap between copyright and design rights. This is especially important in a rapidly evolving digital landscape. By elucidating the complexities and implications of this convergence, it seeks to inform policymakers, legal practitioners, and stakeholders alike. This would facilitate informed decision-making and foster a more robust framework for the protection of creative works and designs.

Keywords: Design, Copyright, Intellectual Property, Overlap, Legal framework, Jurisdiction.

INTRODUCTION

We are currently in a digital age of innovation, creativity, and the constant pursuit of new ideas. In this context, intellectual property law plays a crucial role in protecting the rights of creators, innovators, and entrepreneurs. One area that has recently received more attention is the intersection of copyright and design protection. In particular, this report aims to explore the relationship between these two forms of intellectual property protection within the legal framework of India.

The overlap between copyright and design rights can be complex, leading to uncertainties, disputes, and legal complexities. While potentially offering broader rights to creators, it can also cause problems. This overlap has not been explored extensively in India and needs to be clarified. Therefore, it is critical to clarify the boundaries and interactions between copyright and design rights, especially given the growth and evolution of the creative and industrial sectors.

This paper seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of the legal framework and its implications for creativity, innovation, and industry sectors. Through an in-depth analysis of recent judicial trends and notable court cases, this report sheds light on how the Indian judiciary applies the law in these cases. The emerging judicial trends in India concerning copyright and design overlap hold profound implications for artists, designers, businesses, and policymakers.

The paper investigates the challenges and controversies surrounding this issue, offering recommendations for potential legal reforms to address the complexities within the existing framework. The overlap between copyright and design rights is not just a legal matter; it is a matter

of fostering an environment where creativity and innovation can flourish without undue constraints.

This paper aims to equip its readers with valuable insights and knowledge about this intricate aspect of intellectual property law. It provides a foundation for addressing the legal, commercial, and creative challenges posed by the evolving landscape of copyright and design protection in India. By understanding the legal implications of copyright and design overlap, stakeholders can make informed decisions that benefit the creative and industrial sectors in India.

RESEARCH QUESTION

The crux of the Paper centres on the most important question:

1. What is the extent of the overlap between copyright and design protection in India's legal framework, and how does it impact creators and innovators in different industries?
2. How have recent court cases in India addressed issues related to copyright and design overlap, and what are the key judicial trends and interpretations emerging from these cases?
3. What challenges and controversies are prevalent in enforcing copyright and design protection, and how do they affect the legal, creative, and business communities in India?

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This project report employs a multi-faceted research approach to examine copyright and design overlap and emerging judicial trends in India. The following methods were employed:

1. **Legal Research:** A comprehensive review of the Copyright Act, 1957, the Designs Act, 2000, and relevant legal provisions was conducted. This included an analysis of legislative texts and amendments.
2. **Case Analysis:** A systematic analysis of relevant court cases and judgments from Indian courts was undertaken. Case summaries, legal arguments, and judicial interpretations were reviewed to identify emerging trends and challenges.

OBJECT OF STUDY

1. **Examine Legal Framework:** Understand the legal framework governing copyright and design rights in India.
2. **Explore Copyright and Design Overlap:** Identify areas where copyright and design protection intersect, creating complexities.
3. **Analyze Emerging Judicial Trends:** Study recent court cases to assess how the Indian judiciary interprets and applies copyright and design law.

UNDERSTANDING COPYRIGHT AND DESIGN

Copyright and design rights are two separate but related legal concepts that provide protection for different aspects of creative works and designs.

Copyright law is primarily designed to protect original works of authorship, which can include literary, artistic, musical, and other creative expressions. Examples of copyrighted works include novels, paintings, songs, photographs, and software code. Copyright protection grants exclusive rights to the creator or owner of the copyrighted work, such as the right to reproduce the work, distribute copies, publicly display or perform the work, and create derivative works based on the original.

Design rights, also known as industrial design rights or design patents in some jurisdictions, protect the visual or aesthetic aspects of functional objects. These rights are typically granted for designs that have industrial or commercial applicability, such as product designs, packaging, or graphical user interfaces (GUIs). Design rights prevent others from copying or imitating the appearance of a protected design without authorization. Unlike copyright, which protects the underlying creative expression, design rights focus on the appearance or ornamental features of a design.

While copyright and design rights serve distinct purposes and offer different forms of protection, they can sometimes overlap, particularly when a creative work incorporates both functional and

artistic elements. In such cases, creators may be able to assert copyright protection for the expressive aspects of the work, while design rights may protect its visual appearance or design features. Overall, it's essential for creators, designers, businesses, and legal professionals to understand the distinctions between copyright and design rights to effectively navigate intellectual property law and ensure proper protection for their creative works and designs.

COPYRIGHT AND DESIGN OVERLAP

Copyright and design protection are two distinct forms of intellectual property rights aimed at safeguarding creative and innovative works. In the realm of intellectual property law, the overlap between copyright and design rights has emerged as a significant and complex issue, presenting challenges and opportunities for creators, businesses, and the legal system in India.

Copyright Protection: Copyright, as established in the Copyright Act, 1 of 1957, provides creators with exclusive rights over literary, artistic, and musical works. It offers protection to original creations, including literary texts, paintings, music, and software. Copyright grants creators the authority to control the reproduction, distribution, adaptation, and public display of their works. This legal framework encourages the proliferation of creative content, rewarding creators for their artistic and intellectual efforts.

Design Protection: Design protection, governed by the Designs Act, of 2000, focuses on safeguarding the unique aesthetic aspects of industrial designs and shapes. It plays a crucial role in preserving the visual appeal and functionality of various products, from consumer electronics to furniture and fashion items. Design rights grant creators the exclusive right to produce, sell, or license products featuring their designs. This form of protection is particularly relevant in industries where product aesthetics are paramount.²

Copyright and Design Overlap: The challenge arises when the line between these two forms of protection blurs. This overlap occurs in several sectors, including fashion, product design, and architecture, where the aesthetic elements of a design may also possess creative or artistic qualities. For example, a unique fashion design might be protected under both copyright and design laws. In

² Copyright act 1957 section 2© and 2(d)

such instances, creators and businesses must navigate the complexities of dual protection. This can be advantageous, as it offers comprehensive protection for their work. However, it can also lead to legal ambiguities, disputes, and uncertainties. For instance, disputes may arise when a product's design is also a work of art, and multiple rights holders claim infringement.³

When a creative work combines utilitarian functionality and artistic expression, it can be challenging to determine the appropriate scope of protection. This overlap between copyright and design rights can result in potential conflicts between the two legal frameworks.

Consider the scenario where a graphic design is printed on a functional product like a T-shirt or a smartphone case. In this case, the graphic design may qualify for copyright protection as an artistic work. Copyright protection would extend to the originality and creativity of the design itself, irrespective of its application or use.

However, the same graphic design may also qualify for design rights protection as part of the product's appearance. Design rights protect the visual or ornamental features of industrial designs, including the shape, configuration, pattern, or ornamentation of a product. As such, the graphic design printed on the product may be considered a design element eligible for protection under design rights laws.

The challenge arises in determining the extent to which copyright and design rights overlap and complement each other in such cases. While copyright protects the artistic expression embodied in the graphic design, design rights focus on the visual appearance or design features of the product as a whole. This dual protection can lead to ambiguity and potential conflicts, particularly if different legal standards or criteria apply to each form of protection.

For instance, copyright protection may require more originality and creativity for eligibility, whereas design rights may focus more on the novelty and aesthetic appeal of the design features. Furthermore, the duration and scope of protection may differ between copyright and design rights, which can further complicate the analysis.

³ Chaudhary, A. (2021). Copyright and Industrial Design Overlaps in India: A Comparative Analysis with the U.S. and the E.U. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, Volume 16, Issue 3, 161–170.

Legal Precedents and Challenges: Indian courts have witnessed a growing number of cases that require the interpretation of copyright and design rights in the context of overlap. Notable cases have included disputes in the fashion industry, where fashion designs are challenged for copyright infringement. Furthermore, architectural works that possess artistic value can also trigger overlapping protection claims.

As such, the legal community, creators, and businesses continue to grapple with the complexities of this issue. The absence of a comprehensive legislative framework specifically addressing copyright and design overlap compounds the challenges, necessitating judicial interpretation and case law development.

Overall, navigating the overlap between copyright and design rights requires careful consideration of the specific facts and circumstances of each case, as well as an understanding of the relevant legal principles and standards. Clear guidance and precedents from courts and legal authorities can help clarify the boundaries and interactions between these two forms of protection, ensuring adequate protection for creators and designers while promoting innovation and creativity.

In conclusion, copyright and design overlap in India represents a multifaceted and evolving aspect of intellectual property law. It underscores the need for a nuanced approach to legal interpretation, legislative reforms, and clarity, to balance the protection of creativity and innovation while providing clear guidelines for creators and industries. This intricate intersection of intellectual property rights remains a vital issue in India's ever-evolving creative and commercial landscape.

JUDICIAL VARIATIONS AND TRENDS

Different jurisdictions across the world have their own legal frameworks and approaches to addressing the intersection between copyright and design rights. These variations can lead to divergent legal standards and outcomes, creating challenges for creators, designers, businesses, and legal practitioners operating in multiple jurisdictions.

Jurisdictional differences may arise from differences in statutory law, case law, legal traditions, cultural norms, and economic considerations. For example, some jurisdictions may have specific

legislation or case law that provides clear guidance on how copyright and design rights interact and overlap, while others may have less developed or conflicting legal doctrines in this area.

These jurisdictional variations can impact the scope of protection available to creators and designers, the enforcement of intellectual property rights, and the resolution of disputes involving copyright and design rights. They can also influence market dynamics, innovation incentives, and consumer access to creative and innovative products.

Case studies from different jurisdictions provide valuable insights into how courts interpret and apply copyright and design rights in practice. These case studies illustrate the complexities of balancing competing interests and preserving incentives for creative endeavor in the context of the copyright-design overlap.

For example, landmark cases involving iconic designs or innovative technologies can highlight the challenges faced by courts in determining the appropriate scope of protection and resolving conflicts between copyright and design rights. These cases often involve nuanced legal arguments, expert testimony, and consideration of various factors, such as the degree of creativity, functionality, and market impact of the designs in question.

By analyzing case studies from different jurisdictions, stakeholders can gain a better understanding of the legal principles and considerations involved in navigating the copyright-design interface. These case studies can inform strategic decision-making, legal advocacy, and policy development aimed at promoting innovation, creativity, and intellectual property protection in a globalized marketplace.

Overall, jurisdictional variations and case studies play a critical role in shaping the legal landscape surrounding the intersection between copyright and design rights. By studying these variations and case studies, stakeholders can identify trends, best practices, and areas for further research and development in intellectual property law and policy.

- *Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. (United States):*

In a highly publicized case, the legal tussle between tech giants Apple and Samsung centered around smartphone design patents and accusations of infringement. The case revolved around

Apple's claim that Samsung's smartphones copied its design patents, which included features such as the rounded corners and the grid of icons on the iPhone. The lawsuit raised crucial questions about the extent to which design elements could be protected under intellectual property law. The legal battle began in 2011 when Apple filed a lawsuit against Samsung in a California court. The lawsuit argued that Samsung's smartphones and tablets had infringed upon its design patents. Samsung countered with its own lawsuit, claiming that Apple had violated its patents for wireless communication technology. The legal dispute between the two tech giants lasted for years and spanned numerous countries. In 2012, a California jury ruled in favor of Apple, awarding the company \$1.05 billion in damages. However, the case was not yet over, and Samsung appealed the decision. In 2013, a federal judge reduced the damages that Samsung had to pay to \$930 million. The legal battle also had significant implications for the smartphone industry, as it raised concerns about the level of protection that design elements could receive under intellectual property law. The case highlighted the need for clearer guidelines to be established for design patents and intellectual property law.

- ***Rice v. Paramount Pictures Corp. (United States):***

In this legal case, Robert Rice claimed that Paramount Pictures had violated his copyright by using his sculpture in the set design for the "Star Trek" TV show. The court needed to decide whether the sculpture was an essential part of the set design or a work of art that deserved copyright protection. This case brought up critical questions about the relationship between copyright and design rights in audiovisual works.

- ***Cofemel – Sociedade de Vestuário S.A. v. G-Star Raw CV (European Union):***

The Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) has issued a landmark ruling that provides clarity on the scope of copyright protection for clothing designs in the European Union. The ruling emphasizes that clothing designs may be protected by copyright if they meet the criteria of originality, regardless of their functionality and intended use. The CJEU's decision is significant because it provides guidance on the interpretation of relevant EU directives, specifically the Directive on the legal protection of designs, which outlines the criteria for copyright protection in relation to designs. The ruling underlines the importance of harmonizing copyright and design rights across the EU to ensure consistency and clarity in the protection of intellectual property.

The decision has been welcomed by the fashion industry, as it provides greater protection for original and creative designs. However, the ruling also raises questions about the practical implementation of copyright protection for clothing designs, particularly for designs that have both functional and aesthetic elements. The CJEU's decision provides a framework for determining copyright protection for clothing designs and highlights the need for a nuanced and contextual approach to assessing originality and functionality.

- ***Karen Millen Fashions Ltd. v. Dunnes Stores (Ireland):***

This particular case was centered around a disagreement between Karen Millen Fashions and Dunnes Stores due to the alleged copying of clothing designs. Karen Millen accused Dunnes of copying several of their designs which were safeguarded under Irish copyright law. The case brought up important questions about the extent to which fashion designs could be protected under intellectual property law and what level of similarity was necessary to establish copyright infringement.

- ***Micolube India Ltd. v. Rakesh Kumar Trading as Rakesh Industries:***

In this particular legal case, the Delhi High Court was tasked with determining whether the design of a lubricating oil container was eligible for copyright protection. The plaintiff in this case, Micolube India Ltd., argued that their lubricating oil container design was unique and innovative, and therefore, should be eligible for copyright protection. The court had to examine whether the design met the necessary threshold of originality required for copyright protection and whether it could be distinguished from its functional aspects. This legal dispute raised significant questions about the scope of copyright protection for industrial designs in India. The court's decision had the potential to shape the future of copyright protection for industrial designs in the country. The case highlighted the importance of protecting the creative expressions of designers and manufacturers in the industrial sector. Additionally, it emphasized the need for a clear and consistent legal framework to govern copyright protection for industrial designs.

- ***Indchemie Health Specialities Pvt. Ltd. v. Intas Pharmaceuticals Ltd.:***

The case in question, which was heard by the Bombay High Court, involved a copyright dispute over the design and shape of a pharmaceutical product packaging. The plaintiff, Indchemie Health Specialities Pvt. Ltd., alleged that Intas Pharmaceuticals Ltd. had copied its packaging design, thereby infringing its copyright. The central issue in this case was whether the packaging design constituted an original artistic work eligible for copyright protection, even though it served a utilitarian function.

The court had to consider several factors, including the level of creativity involved in designing the packaging, the extent to which it was influenced by functional considerations, and the likelihood of confusion in the minds of consumers. The plaintiff argued that its packaging design was unique, original, and had acquired a distinctive character in the market. On the other hand, the defendant claimed that the packaging design was functional and lacked the necessary elements of creativity to qualify for copyright protection. The case raised important questions about the applicability of copyright law to product packaging and industrial designs in India. It highlighted the need for a clear legal framework that could balance the interests of creators, consumers, and businesses. Ultimately, the court ruled in favor of the plaintiff, finding that the packaging design was an original artistic work that was eligible for copyright protection. The case had significant implications for the pharmaceutical industry and other sectors where product packaging plays a crucial role in brand recognition and consumer choice.

Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. v. Kapil Wadhwa & Ors.:

In this legal case, Samsung Electronics and a local manufacturer, Kapil Wadhwa & Ors., were involved in a dispute over the alleged infringement of Samsung's design rights for its mobile phone models. Samsung accused the defendants of copying the designs of its mobile phones, including their appearance and user interface features. The Delhi High Court had to determine whether the defendants' products constituted a substantial reproduction of Samsung's designs, which would infringe its design rights. This case highlights the importance of protecting design innovations and preventing unauthorized copying in the Indian market.

These cases demonstrate the evolving legal landscape surrounding the copyright-design overlap in India and highlight the challenges faced by courts in balancing the interests of rights holders, competitors, and consumers. They underscore the need for clear legal standards and judicial interpretation to provide adequate protection for creative works and designs in India's dynamic marketplace.

CONCLUSION

The relationship between copyright and design rights is complex and multifaceted, and it presents both challenges and opportunities. Copyright refers to the legal right granted to creators of original works, such as books, music, and art, while design rights refer to the legal protection afforded to the visual appearance of a product or object, such as its shape, color, and texture. The overlapping nature of these legal frameworks makes it essential for creators, businesses, and legal practitioners to have a nuanced understanding of them.

While the convergence of copyright and design rights can make it complex to navigate the legal terrain, it also provides opportunities for innovation and creativity. For instance, the overlap allows creators to protect their works in a more comprehensive manner than if they solely relied on one legal framework. It also enables businesses to secure their product designs and branding by using both copyright and design rights protection. Moreover, the overlap opens up possibilities for new forms of creative expression, such as combining copyrighted works with design elements to create new and unique products.

To navigate the copyright-design interface effectively, it is necessary to have clear legal standards, harmonization efforts, and collaborative initiatives. Clear legal standards would provide guidance to creators, businesses, and legal practitioners on how to navigate the complex legal terrain. Harmonization efforts would aim to align the legal frameworks of different jurisdictions, reducing complexities caused by different legal regimes. Collaborative initiatives would involve stakeholders working together to address issues arising from the copyright-design overlap, such as developing licensing frameworks that enable creators to use copyrighted works in their designs.

Ongoing dialogue, legislative reforms, and international cooperation are also crucial in shaping a robust framework that promotes innovation and creativity while also safeguarding intellectual property rights in the dynamic digital age. For instance, dialogue between stakeholders, such as creators, businesses, and legal practitioners, would enable them to share knowledge, address issues, and develop effective strategies for navigating the copyright-design interface. Legislative reforms would involve updating existing laws or developing new laws that address emerging issues arising from the copyright-design overlap. International cooperation would involve different countries working together to develop common standards and frameworks for protecting intellectual property rights.

In conclusion, embracing the challenges and opportunities presented by the copyright-design overlap can contribute to a vibrant and sustainable creative ecosystem that thrives on innovation, cultural expression, and legal certainty. By recognizing and addressing the nuances of this overlap, stakeholders can unlock the full potential of their creative endeavors while also protecting their intellectual property rights.